

Investigation Report for Case #: 20101118-004 revisit

Location: Pomona, NY

Date: March 4, 2011

Investigators and others present:

Barbara Kelly Jason + Client - Mike

Contact Information:

Client contacted PIRC several times to say that the activity had continued to increase and began to become physical. A revisit was scheduled and conducted.

Preliminary Investigation Information:

PIRC received a phone call late one night in February after an incident where one of the clients had been the recipient of a physical attack. The client was quite upset and there was a slight mark on their neck that resembled an inverted 'V'. The client felt he had been bitten but after a review of the mark it looked more like a scratch. In either case the photo did not depict the mark clearly but what was clear was that the client was upset and frightened. During our discussion it was disclosed that some friends had visited the home and actually had been irreverent toward the "spirit" and even had threatened to bring in a Ouija board to speak with it. The client said they had the friends leave and no contact via the Ouija board had occurred.

Barbara reminded the client that constant interaction with the 'presence' was not a good idea and only served to encourage the contact. It was also pointed out that the 'friends' weren't helping the situation. The client assured us the Ouija board was not used just brought into the house.

Barbara indicated that the client should ask the "presence" to leave him alone and that it was certainly within his right to tell it that the behavior was not acceptable. The client asked if Barbara could make that statement via "speaker". While this was a first for PIRC it was felt that this might help until we could get there so Barbara was put on speaker and basically told the 'presence' that its current behavior was unacceptable and that in no way was it to touch or hurt the family. Long story short during this 'speaker' conversation Barbara spoke firmly but kind to the 'presence', even saying that there must be nicer places to be. The 'speaker' ended with a promise that the team would come in and the 'presence' would be given one last opportunity to communicate and we

would do whatever possible to help in whatever way we could. We did discuss the possibility of bringing in clergy if necessary.

The client had indicated that this night had been the worst to date but after the "speaker" conversation things quieted down significantly.

A side note here: Many do not believe in Ouija boards and I've heard many an investigator say they are only a 'toy'. Regardless what your belief about Quiji boards is, giving permission to an unseen entity to use your body (in this case your hands) to communicate is in my opinion asking for trouble. We must always keep in mind we do not truly know who we are dealing with. Care should always be taken with whatever approach you undertake to not leave either your team or the client vulnerable in any way.

My team does not dabble in any metaphysical approaches but we don't criticize those who do. We have chosen to not take any cases where metaphysical approaches have been employed. It is one of the first questions we ask before we take on a case so we would not condone the client (or friends) using any metaphysical items to communicate while our investigation is ongoing.

We also learned that the daughter of the original owner had visited the home at one point and had been asked by the client to speak out to what was there. Whether this interaction helped or not was unclear.

Investigation:

We conducted the investigation on March 4, 2011 with the same 3 man team that was involved with the original investigation.

Right off the evening felt different. The atmosphere felt lighter, quieter. This supported the client's claim that things had quieted down since the 'speaker' call.

Kelly began the night at base station with Jason and Barbara going downstairs with the client. The investigators rotated upstairs throughout the evening.

This investigation's approach was to basically give the presence an opportunity to let itself be known and if there was something that could be done to let us know. We were firm throughout the entire investigation that this would be the last opportunity for whatever was there to make contact and that after this night the presence was to no longer reach out and contact, touch or do anything to make itself known or to interact with this family.

We had many personal experiences throughout the night. Noises, voices and slight touches were experienced all night but we felt the evening was much more subdued and

doubted we would have much else in the way of evidence. Nothing could prepare us for the amount of evps we would find.

Evidence Description:

Photographic:

There was no photographic evidence.

Video:

There was no video evidence.

EVP:

Any Evp that is enhanced will have a note. The only reason we amplify/normalize a file is to make it easier to listen to without a headset. The "words" are what we think is being said but you may hear something different.

The placement of the digital recorders was as follows:

DR1 Upstairs Kitchen - the Base Station - We used the base station to swap teams - a recorder ran all night at base.

DR2 was located in the clients Bedroom

DR3 Living Room

See the EVP link on page for Evps.

Personal Experiences:

Throughout the night the atmosphere felt different. My (Barbara) only personal experience was when I heard the emf detector respond in the bedroom to my question "Is that you Helen."

Additional Information:

The client reported that all negative interaction ceased after our investigation. A final reveal was not conducted due to extenuating circumstances and at the request of the client.

